



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

term does not release the lessee from liability for rent, as he is not deprived of the beneficial use of the premises. *Kerley v. Mayer*, (Com. Pl.) 10 Misc. Rep. 718, 31 N. Y. Supp. 818. The cases above cited undoubtedly indicate the weight of authority, with which the principal case is in accord, but there are found in a South Carolina case, dicta to the effect that if the tenant is deprived of the beneficial use of the premises according to the terms of the lease, that is the destruction of the subject matter and upon surrender or an offer of surrender of all benefit therein the tenant has a good defense against the collection of the rent. *Coogan v. Parker*, 2 S. C. (2 Rich.) 255, 16 Am. Rep. 659.

**LIBEL AND SLANDER—DEFAMING A PUBLIC OFFICER.**—An item appeared in the New York Tribune which stated that the plaintiff, a clerk in the city magistrate's court, and his associates failed to appear at certain sessions of the court as was their duty. It was an imputation of shirking a public duty on the part of an officer. *Held*, that such imputation is libelous per se. *Church v. New York Tribune Assn.* (1909), 118 N. Y. Supp. 626.

Any language, written or spoken, imputing a dereliction of duty to an officer of the public or an employee is actionable per se. *Prussing v. Jackson*, 85 Ill. App. 324; *Hay v. Reid*, 85 Mich. 296, 48 N. W. 507; *Bourreseau v. Detroit Evening Journal Co.*, 63 Mich. 425, 30 N. W. 376, 6 Am. St. Rep. 320; *O'Leary v. New York News Pub. Co.*, 51 App. Div. 2, 64 N. Y. Supp. 327. To be actionable the charge must not only tend to injure the plaintiff in his office but must touch him in his official character by imputing the want of necessary characteristics. *Sillars v. Collier*, 151 Mass. 50, 23 N. E. 723, 6 L. R. A. 680; *Kinney v. Nash*, 3 N. Y. 177. This applies to defamatory words concerning county auditor, *Prosser v. Callis*, 117 Ind. 105, 19 N. E. 735; election inspector, *Ellsworth v. Hayes*, 71 Wis. 427, 37 N. W. 249; notary public, *Henderson v. Commercial Advertiser Ass'n*, 111 N. Y. 685, 19 N. E. 286; aff. 46 Hun 504; sheriffs, *Heller v. Duff*, 62 N. J. L. 101; policemen, *O'Brien v. Times Pub. Co.*, 21 R. I. 256, 43 Atl. 101; jurymen, *Byers v. Martin*, 2 Colo. 605, 25 Am. Rep. 755, and similar officers.

**MASTER AND SERVANT—ASSAULT BY FELLOW SERVANT—LIABILITY OF MASTER.** Defendant corporation employed plaintiff to act as engineer in its milling plant. For a long time previous to the employment of plaintiff it had been the custom among the old employees of defendant to initiate every new man employed to work with them. Only those strong enough to successfully resist evaded the initiation, and among those who had been initiated were the president and manager of defendant corporation. A short time after plaintiff had assumed his duties a number of the old employees seized him and proceeded to conduct the initiation by holding the body over a barrel and applying a paddle as had been the custom. Plaintiff resisted and in the struggle suffered the injuries complained of. *Held*, that plaintiff could recover damages from defendant for the injuries suffered. *Medlin Milling Co. v. Boutwell* (1909),—Tex. Civ. App.—, 122 S. W. 442.

The opinion states that since the defendant had permitted this custom of initiating new men to continue for a number of years and had made no